UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,601	02/04/2005	Peter Tiesler	ZAHFRI P704US	1433
20210 DAVIS & BUJ	7590 07/18/200 OLD, P.L.L.C.	7	EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN	T STREET		HOLMES, JUSTIN K	
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
	•			
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/523,601	TIESLER, PETER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin K. Holmes	3681	

	Justin K. Holines	3001	ŀ
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ace with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 xtension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori er than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extantion a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), the distribution of the time period set forth in the time period set	to avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	etter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)</li> </ul>			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below or appended.	nii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>33,34,43 and 44</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>26,28,36 and 39-42</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-25,27,29-32,35,37 and 38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North new the affidation of the affidation of the terminal transfer of the terminal	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c</li></ol>	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		in condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
			·

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to claim 23, specifically, the additional elements associated with the drive wheel of the chain drive in lines 14-18 of claim 23, change the scope of the claim and would require further consideration and a new search.

CHARLES A. MARMOR PATENT EXAMINE